

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
22 March 2001 (22.03.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 01/19745 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: C03C 17/30,
17/42

(21) International Application Number: PCT/JP00/06244

(22) International Filing Date:
13 September 2000 (13.09.2000)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
11/259458 13 September 1999 (13.09.1999) JP

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): NIPPON
SHEET GLASS CO., LTD. [JP/JP]; 5-11, Dosho-machi
3-chome, Chuo-ku, Osaka-shi, Osaka 541-0045 (JP).

(72) Inventors; and


(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): OGAWA, Hisashi
[JP/JP]; Nippon Sheet Glass Co., Ltd., 5-11, Dosho-machi
3-chome, Chuo-ku, Osaka-shi, Osaka 541-0045 (JP).
YAMAMOTO, Hiroaki [JP/JP]; Nippon Sheet Glass
Co., Ltd., 5-11, Dosho-machi 3-chome, Chuo-ku, Os-
aka-shi, Osaka 541-0045 (JP). TERANISHI, Toyoyuki
[JP/JP]; Nippon Sheet Glass Co., Ltd., 5-11, Dosho-machi
3-chome, Chuo-ku, Osaka-shi, Osaka 541-0045 (JP).
KOBAYASHI, Hiroaki [JP/JP]; Nippon Sheet Glass Co.,
Ltd., 5-11, Dosho-machi 3-chome, Chuo-ku, Osaka-shi,
Osaka 541-0045 (JP). KURAMOTO, Shunji [JP/JP];
Omega Techno Modeling, 11-1, Seishin 8-chome, Sagami-
hara-shi, Kanagawa 229-1116 (JP).

(74) Agent: SHIMODA, Yo-ichiro; Meisan Tameike Bldg.,
1-12, Akasaka 1-chome, Minato-ku, Tokyo 107-0052 (JP).

(81) Designated States (national): JP, US.

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: METHOD FOR PARTIALLY TREATING A WATER-REPELLENT GLASS SHEET AND THE PARTIALLY
TREATED GLASS SHEET



(57) Abstract: A method for partially treating a water-repellent glass sheet is disclosed which comprises irradiating part of a water-repellent film, formed on the water-repellent glass sheet, with a stream of plasma jets generated by a plasma jet irradiating gun. By such plasma jet irradiation, the film part can be removed easily even when the glass sheet has a curved surface. A water-repellent glass sheet with the film part removed is also disclosed.

WO 01/19745 A1



(84) Designated States (regional): European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

Published:

— *With international search report.*

-1-

DESCRIPTION

METHOD FOR PARTIALLY TREATING A WATER-REPELLENT GLASS
SHEET AND THE PARTIALLY TREATED GLASS SHEET

5

TECHNICAL FIELD

The present invention relates to a method for partially treating a water-repellent glass sheet to remove part of a water-repellent function of the glass sheet. The invention
10 also relates to a water-repellent glass sheet with part of its water-repellent film removed.

BACKGROUND ART

In recent years, water-repellent glass sheets are
15 widely used on vehicles so as to repel rain drops from fields of view of drivers of the vehicles to thereby ensure safety driving in a rainy weather.

Such glass sheets are known from, for example, Japanese Patent Laid-Open Publication Nos. HEI-4-130032 and HEI-4-
20 305037. The glass sheet disclosed in Japanese Patent Laid-Open Publication No. HEI-4-130032 includes a glass substrate, a film of SiO_2 (silicon dioxide) formed on the glass substrate, and a fluorine-based film such as that of fluorosilicon coated on the film of SiO_2 . The fluorine-
25 based film provides a desired water-repellent function. In Japanese Patent Laid-Open Publication No. HEI-4-305037, the glass sheet is disclosed which comprises a glass substrate, a film of SiO_2 formed on the glass substrate, and a fluorine-containing carbonaceous film formed on the film of
30 SiO_2 . The fluorine-containing carbonaceous film provides a

-2-

desired water-repellent function.

These glass sheets have prolonged lives because the water-repellent films formed thereon do not peel off easily.

5 Of vehicular glass sheets, a water-repellent pane of glass provided sidewardly of a vehicle has a lower portion connected to a lift mechanism called a window regulator for raising and lowering the pane of glass. More specifically, the water-repellent pane of glass is connected to holders
10 of metal by means of resin or adhesive applied thereto. The holders are then bolted to arms of the lift mechanism. The holder can be readily adhered to a laminated glass pane or another glass pane free from a water-repellent function. However, a problem is encountered in connecting the holders
15 to a water-repellent pane of glass by means of an adhesive. More specifically, it is impossible to achieve a required adhesive strength between the adhesive and the glass pane because the water-repellent film of the water-repellent pane of glass functions to repel the adhesive. As a
20 consequence, the holders cannot be attached to the water-repellent glass pane. To overcome this problem, either abrasives (abrasive method) or masking tapes (masking tape method) have been conventionally used.

In the abrasive method, portions of the water-repellent
25 film that are to be connected to the holders are scraped off mechanically by use of the abrasives containing small particles of silicon oxide or cerium oxide, thereby providing the water-repellent pane of glass having parts free of the water-repellent film. Such water-repellent-
30 film-free parts of the glass pane can then be connected to

-3-

the holders through an adhesive applied thereto.

In the masking tape method, portions of a pane of glass that are to be connected to the holders are masked by applying a masking tape thereto. A water-repellent film is
5 then formed on the glass pane having the masked portions. Thereafter, the masking tape is peeled off from the glass pane, thereby providing the water-repellent glass pane with the portions free of the water-repellent film. Such water-repellent-film-free portions of the glass pane can then be
10 connected to the holders through an adhesive applied thereto.

However, in the abrasive method, it is difficult and laborious to partially remove the water-repellent film by means of abrasives, thereby increasing the cost of
15 processing of the water-repellent film.

Again, in the masking tape method, it is troublesome and laborious to apply masking tapes to the glass pane and to peel off the tapes from the glass pane. Further, it is quite difficult to achieve minute masking on the glass
20 pane. Thus, the masking tape method is not effective for a curved pane of glass.

Reference is now made to FIG. 8 hereof schematically illustrating a conventional front glass pane or windshield. As in some conventional windshields, the illustrated
25 windshield 101 includes wipers 102, 102 movable across the windshield to remove rain, and optical instruments such as a rain sensor 103 for detecting whether any rain drops are present on the windshield, or the amount of the rain drops on the windshield.

30 In FIG. 9, the windshield 101 with the rain sensor 103

-4-

is illustrated in enlarged-scale partial cross section taken along line 9-9 of FIG. 8. The windshield 101 has a water-repellent film 104 formed on an external surface of the windshield 101. The water-repellent function of the film 104 becomes gradually degraded with the lapse of years. In correspondence with the degradation, the states of adherence of rain to the windshield 101 also change. It is quite tedious to make the relevant control follow those changes. In the light of the tedious adjustments thus required of the control to follow the changes, the front glass pane 101 may as well go without the water-repellent film 104.

To this end, one may propose to provide a small window-shaped water-repellent-film-free part 105 so that the rain sensor 103 can be mounted to the windshield 101 in opposed relation to the film-free part 105. This arrangement enables automatic wiping wherein the wipers 102, 102 are automatically operated in correspondence with the amount of rain present on the film-free or non-repellent part 105 of the windshield 101. It also becomes possible to urge, by signals, or phonetic or optical means, a driver to start the wipers.

Incidentally, for prolonging the life of the water-repellent film 104, it is desirable to provide a relatively thick ground film or undercoat of SiO_2 interposed between the water-repellent film 104 and the front glass pane 101.

Now, the non-repellent part 105 may be formed in the water-repellent film 104 and the ground film laid thereunder by using either the abrasive method or the masking tape method. However, since the water-repellent

-5-

film 104 is relatively thick, resulted edges 106 of the film 104 inevitably lie perpendicularly to a windshield surface on which the water-repellent film 104 is formed. Thus, it is liable to happen that an incident ray 107
5 originating from a source of light such as light of a car running on an opposite lane is reflected by the edges 106 to thereby cause mis-detection of the rain sensor 103. The abrasive method and the masking tape method are therefore not suited to form the window-shaped non-repellent part 105
10 in front of the sensor.

Reference is made next to FIG. 10 which schematically illustrates interrelations between a conventional door glass pane and a conventional door mirror. A driver, not shown but seated in a driver seat 111 with his hands held
15 onto a steering wheel 112, looks into the door mirror 115 through the door glass pane 114 for rearward confirmation.

A front glass pane 116 has a water-repellent function because rain falls directly onto it. In contrast, the sideward door glass pane 114 normally comprises a non-
20 water-repellent sheet of glass. It is, however, desirable that the door glass pane 114 has a water-repellent part 117, as illustrated, so that the driver can obtain a clear rear view of the mirror through the water-repellent part.

As can be readily appreciated, the water-repellent part
25 117 is surrounded by a non-water-repellent part 118 of the door glass pane 114. When the non-water-repellent part 118 is provided by means of the abrasive method or the masking tape method, an edge 119 of the water-repellent part 117 lies normal to a surface of the door glass pane 114. Then,
30 it is likely that the edge 119, when shone with rays of

-6-

light from a succeeding car or a passing-by car, or with roadside lights, undesirably serves as a reflecting surface and shines to thereby block the driver's rear view. In this instance, the water-repellent part 117 loses its
5 significance of being provided.

DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION

It is accordingly an object of the present invention to provide a method for removing part of a water-repellent
10 film formed on a glass sheet, without using abrasives and/or masking tapes.

Another object of the present invention is to provide a water-repellent sheet of glass free from the foregoing optical inconveniences.

15 To attain the above objects, there is provided, in accordance with one aspect of the present invention, a method for partially treating a water-repellent glass sheet to remove part of a water-repellent function of the glass sheet, which method comprises the steps of: providing a
20 glass sheet having a water-repellent film formed thereon; and irradiating a desired part of the water-repellent film with a stream of plasma jets to thereby eliminate the desired film part.

Since the plasma jet stream is limited in its radial
25 expansion and narrower than a gas flame, it is possible to treat a desired portion of the water-repellent film precisely. The plasma jet irradiation may be effected by moving a plasma jet irradiation gun relative to and along a surface of the water-repellent film. Since such movement
30 of the plasma jet irradiation gun can be achieved with no

-7-

difficulty, the intended treatment can be accomplished readily even when the water-repellent glass sheet has a curved surface.

In a preferred form, the water-repellent glass sheet includes an SiO_2 -based undercoat interposed between a surface of the glass sheet and the water-repellent film. The desired-film-part elimination may be carried out such that the undercoat is left as it is.

Provision of the SiO_2 -based undercoat enables bonding of the water-repellent film with the water-repellent glass sheet with increased firmness. Further, with the undercoat remaining present at the repellent-film-eliminated part, optical problems as found in the conventional arrangement can be prevented.

Preferably, the plasma jet irradiating step is performed by using a plasma jet irradiation gun which is set to operate at a power output of the order of 0.5 kW, is positioned 5-15 mm distant from the glass sheet and is set to move at a velocity of 1-60 mm/sec parallel to a surface of the glass sheet in each pass of treatment.

When the distance between the plasma jet irradiation gun and the surface of the water-repellent glass sheet is less than 5 mm, stable plasma jet stream cannot be secured. Again, the distance of more than 15 mm is too long to provide a stable plasma jet stream. Thus, the distance is preferably set to fall in a range of 5 mm to 15 mm.

The term "conversion velocity" used herein represents a rate obtained when a velocity of movement of the plasma jet irradiation gun parallel to the surface of the water-repellent glass sheet is divided by the number of times the

-8-

water-repellent glass sheet is treated with plasma jets. The conversion velocity is set to be 1 mm/sec or more, preferably 10 mm/sec or more, and more preferably 20 mm/sec or more while the conversion velocity is set to be 60
5 mm/sec or less, preferably 50 mm/sec or less, and more preferably 40 mm/sec or less. By using these parameters, a stable plasma jet stream is provided to thereby efficiently remove a desired part of the water-repellent film.

According to another aspect of the present invention,
10 there is provided a water-repellent glass sheet produced by the partial treatment method described above. The glass sheet may be a curved one because it is treated by the plasma jets which achieve precise treatment.

According to a further aspect of the present invention,
15 there is provided a water-repellent glass sheet which comprises an SiO_2 -based undercoat formed on a surface of said glass sheet; and a water-repellent film formed on the undercoat. The water-repellent film has non-water-repellent portions provided by nullifying a water-repellent
20 function at portions thereof where a water-repellent function is not required, and a sloped border portion separating the non-water-repellent portions and remaining water-repellent portion. The sloped border portion has a gradient water-repellent function.

25 At the sloped border portion where the water-repellent function is gradient, the thickness of the water-repellent film decreases gradually from 10% to 0%. As a result, undesirable shining of the border portion and mis-operation of a rain sensor disposed inside a car, which are caused by
30 rays of light of a passing-by car shining onto the border

-9-

portion and the sensor, can be prevented.

It is desirable that the undercoat remains present at the non-water-repellent portions. With the undercoat kept present at those portions, no large stepped portion will be
5 formed thereat, whereby optical problems due to light reflection, otherwise occurring at those portions, can be avoided.

The undercoat may be formed by a sol-gel process which enables film forming at a low-temperature atmosphere. This
10 leads to increased productivity and hence reduction in the cost of production.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Certain preferred embodiments of the present invention
15 will hereinafter be described in detail, by way of example only, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

FIG. 1 is a front elevational view of a plasma jet irradiation gun for use in a method according to the
20 present invention;

FIG. 2 is a cross-sectional view taken along line 2-2 of FIG. 1;

FIG. 3A illustrates how a water-repellent glass sheet is irradiated with plasma jets by use of the plasma jet
25 irradiation gun;

FIG. 3B is a graphical illustration of the treatment capability of the plasma jets;

FIG. 4A is a cross-sectional view illustrating the water-repellent glass sheet to be irradiated with plasma
30 jets;

-10-

FIG. 4B is a diagrammatic illustration of the molecular structure of the water-repellent film;

FIG. 5A illustrates the water-repellent glass sheet being treated with the plasma jets;

5 FIG. 5B is a cross-sectional view illustrating the water-repellent film with part eliminated as a result of the irradiation with the plasma jets;

FIG. 6 is a graph showing contact angles obtained in an experimental example; and

10 FIGS. 7A and 7B illustrate the principles of tension and peeling tests for measuring the adhesive strength between glass sheets and adhesives.

BEST MODE FOR CARRYING OUT THE INVENTION

15 The following description is merely exemplary in nature and is in no way intended to limit the invention or its application or uses.

Referring to FIG. 1, a plasma jet irradiation gun 10 includes a gun body 12 having a tapering flow path 11 along which air flows, and a blower mount block 13 mounted to the gun body 12. Reference numeral 14 denotes a nozzle 14.

As shown in FIG. 2, an air fan 20 is positioned backwardly of the blower mount block 13. The air fan 20 includes a motor 21, blades 23 attached to a motor shaft 22, a spiral casing 24 wound around the blades 23, an air intake aperture 25, and a net 26. An electrode rod 30 and an electrode board 31 are provided forwardly of the air fan 20. The electrode board 31 faces toward the flow path 11.

When high voltage is applied between the electrode rod 30 and the electrode board 31, an arc-discharge 32 is

-11-

produced therebetween. At the same time, the air fan 20 causes air to flow along the flow path 11 at a high velocity, thereby generating a high-speed stream of plasma jets 33. The plasma jets 33 are discharged through the nozzle 14. The plasma jets 33 having a high velocity can hardly expand radially and thus flow in a generally linear stream.

As shown in FIG. 3A, a nozzle 14 is positioned away from a surface of a glass sheet 38 by a distance H. As the plasma jets 33 are blown onto the surface of the glass sheet 38, the plasma jet stream expands radially to some extent. The capability of the plasma jets to treat the glass sheet 38 depends on the density of the plasma jets irradiated onto the glass sheet. As can be seen from the graph of FIG. 3B, the capability becomes highest at the center and gradually decreases with increase in distance L from the center to thereby provide a characteristic curve in the form of a bell.

Next, reference is made to FIG. 4A illustrating, in cross-section, the construction of a water-repellent glass sheet to be treated by the method according to the present invention.

As shown in FIG. 4A, a water-repellent glass sheet 40 includes a glass sheet 41 with a ground film or undercoat 42 formed thereon, and a water-repellent film 43 laid over the undercoat 42. The undercoat 42 has a thickness of 30 to 40 nm and contains SiO_2 (silicon dioxide) as a chief component. The water-repellent film 43 is made of a fluorine-containing carbonaceous film of, for example, 2 to 5 nm thickness.

-12-

The water-repellent film 43 has a molecular structure as diagrammatically shown in FIG. 4B. The undercoat 42, made of SiO_2 , is bonded to silicon of the water-repellent film 43. Each silicon is bonded to hydrocarbon radical -
5 $\text{CH}_2\text{-CH}_2\text{-}$ bonded to fluorocarbon group $\text{-(CF}_2\text{)}_7\text{-CF}_3$ to thereby provide the molecular structure $\text{-Si-CH}_2\text{-CH}_2\text{-(CF}_2\text{)}_7\text{-CF}_3$.

Reference is now made to FIGS. 5A and 5B illustrating the partial treatment method according to the present invention. The plasma jet irradiation gun 10 irradiates
10 the water-repellent film 43 with the plasma jets 33, as shown in FIG. 5A, whereupon a reaction as described below is brought about by the energy of the plasma jets 33.

Each bond between silicon and carbon, indicated by horizontally directed arrows in FIG. 4B, is primarily
15 broken, whereby the water-repellent function is eliminated.

As shown in FIG. 5B, the water-repellent glass sheet 40 includes a portion directly irradiated with the plasma jets 33 in such a manner as to remove the water-repellent film 43 having the water-repellent function. More specifically,
20 fluorine-containing groups having the water-repellent function are eliminated by the plasma jets. Such a portion free from the water-repellent film 43 is hereinafter referred to as "non-water-repellent portion". The water-repellent glass sheet 40 also includes a "water-repellent
25 portion" not irradiated with the plasma jets 33. The water-repellent portion has the water-repellent film 43 left unremoved. Between the non-water-repellent portion and the water-repellent portion, there is provided a "border portion". The border portion gradually decreases
30 in irradiance towards the water-repellent portion to

-13-

thereby provide an inclined or sloped surface.

EXPERIMENTS

Experiments in the present invention will be discussed
5 below. However, the present invention is not limited to
the experiments to be described below. The plasma jet
irradiation gun 10 moves in parallel to the surface of the
water-repellent glass sheet 40 when the water-repellent
glass sheet 40 is treated with plasma jets.

10 EXAMPLE 1

EXPERIMENTAL EQUIPMENT: APPARATUS FOR TREATING FORMED
PRODUCT WITH PLASMA JETS (available from
COROTEC Corp.)

15 SAMPLE: water-repellent glass sheet (including an
undercoat of SiO_2 having a thickness of 40 nm, and a
water-repellent film of perfluoroalkylsilane
having a thickness of 4 nm)

DISTANCE H: 10 mm (See FIG. 3A)

POWER: 0.5 kW for each plasma jet irradiation gun

20 STRIKING VELOCITY OF PLASMA JETS (rate at which plasma
jets impact upon a sample): about 7 m/s

VELOCITY OF PLASMA JET IRRADIATION GUN (in movement
parallel to the water-repellent glass sheet
surface); 100 mm/sec

25 TREATMENT: two times

CONVERSION VELOCITY: 50 mm/sec

EVALUATION: contact angle

FIG. 6 is a graph showing contact angles obtained from
30 EXAMPLE 1. A horizontal axis shows the distance L (See

-14-

FIG. 3B) from the center of the surface of the water-repellent glass sheet irradiated with plasma jets. A vertical axis shows contact angles θ . A contact angle θ is given between the surface of the water-repellent glass sheet and the surface of a drip or raindrop placed on the former. The contact angle is important in determining the water-repellent function of the water-repellent glass sheet. The greater the contact angles θ are, the higher the water-repellent function becomes. In the graph, the contact angle θ_1 is about 110° to provide a "water-repellent state" in which water is repelled.

Contact angle θ_2 is about 10° in which angle a rain-drop takes a flattened shape and adheres to the water-repellent glass sheet with increased firmness. This state may be called "wettability". When wettability is high, it is unlikely that a primer or an adhesive agent is repelled. Then, holders can be fixedly attached to the glass sheet with a minimum of difficulty.

EXAMPLES 2 to 4

20 EXPERIMENTAL EQUIPMENT: APPARATUS FOR TREATING FORMED
PRODUCT WITH PLASMA JETS (available from
COROTEC Corp.)

25 SAMPLE: water-repellent glass sheet (including an
undercoat of SiO_2 having a thickness of 30 to 40 nm,
and a water-repellent film of perfluoroalkylsilane
having a thickness of 2 to 5 nm)

DISTANCE H: 10 mm

POWER: 0.5 kW for each plasma jet irradiation gun

STRIKING VELOCITY OF PLASMA JET: about 7 m/s

30 VELOCITY OF PLASMA JET IRRADIATION GUN: 100, 20, and

-15-

120 mm/sec

TREATMENT: four times or once

TABLE 1

5		EXAMPLE 1	EXAMPLE 2	EXAMPLE 3	EXAMPLE 4
	DISTANCE H	10 mm	10 mm	10 mm	10 mm
	VELOCITY OF PLASMA JET IRRADIATION GUN	100 mm/sec	100 mm/sec	10 mm/sec	120 mm/sec
10	TREATMENT	twice	four times	once	once
	CONVERSION VELOCITY	50 mm/sec	25 mm/sec	10 mm/sec	120 mm/sec
	EVALUATION	good	good	good	no good

15

$$\text{CONVERSION VELOCITY} = \frac{\text{VELOCITY OF THE PLASMA JET IRRADIATION GUN}}{\text{NUMBER OF TIMES SAMPLE IS TREATED}}$$

20 In EXAMPLE 2, the velocity of the plasma jet irradiation gun is set to be 100 mm/sec. The number of times the water-repellent glass sheet is treated is four. Thus, the conversion velocity is 25 mm/sec to thereby achieve a sufficiently small contact angle. Therefore, the

25 evaluation of EXAMPLE 2 is good.

In EXAMPLE 3, the plasma jet irradiation gun has a low velocity of 10 mm/s. The water-repellent glass sheet is treated once. The conversion velocity is therefore 10

-16-

mm/s. Because the plasma jet irradiation gun moves at a low velocity, good evaluation can be obtained by treating the water-repellent glass sheet only once.

In EXAMPLE 4, the water-repellent glass sheet is
5 treated with the plasma jet irradiation gun having a high velocity of 120 mm/s. The water-repellent glass sheet is treated once. The conversion velocity is 120 mm/s. Consequently, a contact angle varies and hence the desired contact angle can not be achieved. For this reason, the
10 evaluation is no good.

The adhesive strength for a water-repellent glass sheet treated in accordance with the present invention was measured.

Figs. 7A and 7B illustrate the principles of tension
15 and peeling tests for measuring the adhesive strength between glass sheets and adhesives.

As shown in FIG. 7A, each glass sheet 41 is coated with a primer 45. The glass sheets 41, 41 thus arranged lie over the other with an urethane resin 46 sandwiched between
20 the primers 45, 45. The urethane resin 46 has an area of 25 mm by 25 mm. The glass sheets 41, 41 are then separated from each other by forces F_x , F_x applied thereto. Such forces F_x , F_x required to separate the glass sheets 41, 41 from each other are the adhesive strength in the tension
25 test.

Turning to FIG. 7B, a ribbon 47 includes a portion adhered to the glass sheet 41 and having a width of 25 mm. The ribbon 47 is then peeled off the glass sheet 47 by a force F_z applied thereto, as shown in FIG. 7B. Such a
30 force F_z is the adhesive strength in the peeling test.

-17-

EXAMPLES 5 to 7

EXPERIMENTAL EQUIPMENT: APPARATUS FOR TREATING FORMED
PRODUCT WITH PLASMA JET (available from COROTEC
Corp.)

- 5 SAMPLE: water-repellent glass sheet (including an
undercoat of SiO₂ having a thickness of 30 to 40 nm,
and a water-repellent film of perfluoroalkylsilane
having a thickness of 2 to 5 nm) or a conventional
glass sheet
- 10 DISTANCE H: 10 mm
POWER: 0.5 kW for each plasma jet irradiation gun
STRIKING VELOCITY OF PLASMA JET: about 7 m/s
VELOCITY OF PLASMA JET IRRADIATION GUN: 40 mm/sec
TREATMENT: twice
- 15 CONVERSION VELOCITY: 20 mm/sec

TABLE 2

	EXAMPLE 5	EXAMPLE 6	EXAMPLE 7
Distance H	10 mm	10 mm	10 mm
20 Velocity of PlasmJet Irradiation Gun	40 mm/s	40 mm/s	40 mm/s
Treatment	twice	twice	twice
Conversion Velocity	20 mm/s	20 mm/s	20 mm/s
Tested Portion	non-water-repellent portion	water-repellent portion	surface of conventional glass sheet
25 Adhesive Strength Fx	80 kgf	0	59 kgf

-18-

Adhesive Strength FZ	10 kgf or more	0	10 kgf or more
Failure	cohesive failure	interfacial failure	cohesive failure

In EXAMPLE 5, the non-water-repellent portion of the water-repellent glass sheet is tested. Because the water-repellent film is treated with plasma jet in such a manner as to eliminate the water-repellent function of the former, the adhesive strength Fx in the tension test is 80 kgf while the adhesive strength Fz in the peeling test is 10 kgf or more. Moreover, interfacial failure did not occur at the non-water-repellent portion.

In EXAMPLE 6, the water-repellent portion of the water-repellent glass sheet is tested. The adhesive strength Fx in the tension test and the adhesive strength Fz in the peeling test are both zero, and interfacial failure occurred at the water-repellent portion. This means that because the water-repellent portion repels adhesive, the glass sheets 41, 41 can not be bonded together while the ribbon 47 can not be bonded to the glass sheet 41.

In EXAMPLE 7, the conventional glass sheet is tested for comparison to EXAMPLE 5 and EXAMPLE 6. The adhesive strength Fx is 59 kgf while the adhesive strength Fz is 10 kgf or more. Also, interfacial failure did not occur on the surface of the conventional glass sheet. Results of EXAMPLES 5 to 7 indicate that the non-water-repellent portion provides the adhesive strength equal to or greater than that provided by the conventional glass sheet.

Further, the previously stated experiments were performed repeatedly. Results obtained from those

-19-

experiments show that the present invention can be carried out when the distance H is in the range of 5 to 15 mm. The distance H of less than 5 mm provides unstable plasma jet. When the distance H is more than 15 mm, plasma jet is too long. Therefore, the distance H is preferably set to be 5 mm or more and be up to 15 mm. The power is set to be between 0.3 and 1 kW.

The results of the above described experiments indicate that the desired treatment can be performed when the conversion velocity ranges from 10 to 50 mm/s. Next, experiments were carried out so as to determine the desired upper and lower limits of conversion velocity effective to obtain satisfactory results.

EXAMPLES 8 to 16

15 EXPERIMENTAL EQUIPMENT: PLASMA JET MADE PRODUCT TREATING APPARATUS (available from COROTEC Corp.)

 SAMPLE: a water-repellent glass sheet (including an undercoat of SiO₂ having a thickness of 30 to 40 nm, and a water-repellent film of perfluoroalkylsilane having a thickness of 2 to 5 nm)

20 DISTANCE H: 10 mm

 POWER: 0.5 kW for each plasma jet irradiation gun

 STRIKING VELOCITY OF PLASMA JET: about 7 m/s

 VELOCITY OF PLASMA JET IRRADIATION GUN: 0.5 to 70 mm/s

25 TREATMENT: once

TABLE 3

	Example 8	Example 9	Example 10	Example 11	Example 12	Example 13	Example 14	Example 15	Example 16
Distance H (mm)	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Velocity of Plasma Jet Irradiation Gun (mm/s)	0.5	1.0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70
Frequency of Treatment	once	once	once	once	once	once	once	once	once
Conversion Velocity (mm/s)	0.5	1.0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70
Evaluation on Treatment	Very Good	Very Good	Very Good	Very Good	Very Good	Very Good	Good	Fair	No Good
Evaluation on Cost Efficiency	No Good	Fair	Good	Very Good	Very Good	Very Good	Very Good	Very Good	Very Good
Total Evaluation	No Good	Fair	Good	Very Good	Very Good	Very Good	Good	Fair	No Good

-21-

In EXAMPLE 8, the conversion velocity is 0.5 mm/sec. The water-repellent film was satisfactorily eliminated to thereby obtain an evaluation of very good on the treatment. However, the velocity of the plasma jet irradiation gun is
5 too low to treat the water-repellent film with plasma jets in a short time. It is therefore not possible to provide a large number of non-water-repellent portions. Thus, the evaluation on cost efficiency or economy is no good. The
10 worse of the two evaluations on the treatment and the economy is used to establish a total evaluation. As a result, the total evaluation on EXAMPLE 8 is no good.

In EXAMPLE 9, the conversion velocity is 1.0 mm/sec. The water-repellent film was satisfactorily eliminated to thereby obtain an evaluation of very good on the treatment.
15 The plasma jet irradiation gun in EXAMPLE 9 moves twice faster than that in EXAMPLE 8. Therefore, the number of the non-water-repellent portions produced in EXAMPLE 9 is twice that in EXAMPLE 8. Thus, the cost efficiency is fair in evaluation. Thus, the total evaluation on EXAMPLE 9 is
20 fair.

In EXAMPLE 10, the conversion velocity is 10 mm/sec. The water-repellent film was satisfactorily eliminated to thereby obtain a very good evaluation on the treatment. The plasma jet irradiation gun in EXAMPLE 10 moves much
25 faster than that in EXAMPLE 9 to thereby obtain a good evaluation on the cost efficiency. The total evaluation on EXAMPLE 10 is therefore good.

In EXAMPLE 11, the conversion velocity is 20 mm/sec. The water-repellent film was satisfactorily eliminated to
30 thereby obtain a very good evaluation on the treatment.

-22-

The velocity of the plasma jet irradiation gun in EXAMPLE 11 is much greater than that in EXAMPLE 10 to thereby obtain an evaluation of very good on the cost efficiency. Therefore, the total evaluation on EXAMPLE 11 is very good.

5 In EXAMPLE 12, the conversion velocity is 30 mm/sec. The water-repellent film was satisfactorily eliminated to thereby obtain a very good evaluation on the treatment. The velocity of the plasma jet irradiation gun in EXAMPLE 12 is greater than that in EXAMPLE 11 to thereby obtain a
10 very good evaluation on the cost efficiency. Consequently, the total evaluation on EXAMPLE 12 is very good.

 In EXAMPLE 13, the conversion velocity is 40 mm/sec. The water-repellent film was satisfactorily eliminated to thereby obtain a very good evaluation on the treatment.
15 The velocity of the plasma jet irradiation gun in EXAMPLE 13 is greater than that in EXAMPLE 12 to thereby obtain an evaluation of very good on the cost efficiency. The total evaluation on EXAMPLE 13 is thus very good.

 In EXAMPLE 14, the conversion velocity is 50 mm/sec.
20 The velocity of the plasma jet irradiation gun in EXAMPLE 14 is greater than that in EXAMPLE 13 to thereby obtain an evaluation of very good on the cost efficiency. However, since the velocity of the plasma jet irradiation gun in EXAMPLE 14 is higher than that in EXAMPLE 13, the water-
25 repellent film was removed to a smaller extent in EXAMPLE 14 than in EXAMPLE 13. Thus, the evaluation on the treatment is good to thereby obtain a total evaluation of good.

 In EXAMPLE 15, the conversion velocity is 60 mm/s. The
30 velocity of the plasma jet irradiation gun in EXAMPLE 15 is

-23-

greater than that in EXAMPLE 14 to thereby obtain a very good evaluation on the cost efficiency. However, since the plasma jet irradiation gun in EXAMPLE 15 has a much greater velocity than that in EXAMPLE 14, the water-repellent film was removed to a smaller extent in EXAMPLE 15 than in EXAMPLE 14. The conversion velocity in EXAMPLE 15 is an upper limit for obtaining a fair evaluation on the treatment. The total evaluation on EXAMPLE 15 is thus fair because the evaluation on the treatment is fair.


10 In EXAMPLE 16, the conversion velocity is 70 mm/sec. The velocity of the plasma jet irradiation gun in EXAMPLE 16 is greater than that in EXAMPLE 15 to thereby obtain a very good evaluation on the cost efficiency. However, since the plasma jet irradiation gun has a high velocity, 15 the water-repellent film was not satisfactorily eliminated. The evaluation on the treatment is therefore no good with the result that the total evaluation on EXAMPLE 16 is also no good.

The results of the experiments in EXAMPLES 8 to 16 show 20 that the conversion velocity should be 1 mm/sec or more, preferably 10 mm/sec or more, and more preferably 20 mm/sec or more while the conversion velocity should be 60 mm/sec or less, preferably 50 mm/sec or less, and more preferably 40 mm/sec or less.

25 Additionally, water-repellent films formed using the following compounds were treated in accordance with the present invention. As a result, these water-repellent films were satisfactorily removed to provide desired non-water-repellent portions.

30


-24-



5

where A and n denote methyl group and an integer between 1 and 50, respectively.


10



15

where m is an integer in the range of 3 to 100, n designates an integer between 0 and 50, m+n is 5 or more and up to 100 and preferably 10 or more and up to 50.

20




25

where m denotes an integer in the range of 3 to 100, n is an integer between 0 and 50, and m+n is 5 or more and up to 100 and preferably 10 or more and up to 50.

-25-


5



10 where m is an integer between 3 and 100, n denotes an integer in the range of 0 to 50, and m+n is 5 or more and up to 100 and preferably 10 or more and up to 50.

The water-repellent films obtained using the previously described compounds 1 through 4 do not contain fluorine but
 15 have a capability of repelling water. Such water-repellent films could be effectively treated in accordance with the present invention.

20



where R¹ represents a hydrocarbon radical having 1 to 4 carbon atoms with one hydrogen atom removed, R² designates
 25 an acyloxy group or an alkoxyl group having from 1 to 4 carbon atoms, Q denotes an organic group of from 2 to 10 carbon atoms in which two hydrogen atoms are removed, a is zero or one, and P is an integer between 1 and 12.

Although the compact and useful plasma jet irradiation
 30 gun 10 is employed in the embodiment of the present

-26-

invention, the construction of the plasma jet irradiation gun is not limited to that described in relation to FIGS. 1 and 2 as long as a device for generating plasma jets is employed.

5

INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY

As thus far explained, in accordance with the present invention, part of a water-repellent function or film of a water-repellent glass sheet can be removed easily by means
10 of plasma jets. As a result, when the water-repellent glass sheet is used as an automobile door glass pane, holders of a window regulator for raising and lowering the door glass pane can be attached with increased firmness to the non-water-repellent part of the glass pane by means of
15 an adhesive because the adhesive is no longer repelled.

The door glass pane often comprises a non-water-repellent glass sheet. However, it is desirable that the glass sheet or door glass pane has a water-repellent part so that a driver can look through that part into a fender
20 or door mirror to obtain a clear rear view in a rainy weather. Such a water-repellent part can be provided easily by the inventive partial treatment process using plasma jets.

Also, when the glass sheet with the water-repellent-
25 film-free part is used as a windshield of a vehicle, a rain sensor can be mounted to the windshield in opposed relation to the water-repellent-film-free part. As a result, the rain sensor can surely detect rain because rain fell on the water-repellent-film-free part is not repelled and stays
30 there for detection. This further enables the provision of

-27-

an automatic wiping system.

Although the glass sheet treated in accordance with the present invention has been described in relation to use on and application to an automobile, it should not be limited
5 to such use and application. For example, the inventive glass sheet can also be applied to trains, airplanes, boats and other means of transportation employing sheets of glass.

-28-

CLAIMS

1. A method for partially treating a water-repellent glass sheet to nullify a water-repellent function in part of the glass sheet, comprising the steps of:
 - providing a glass sheet having a water-repellent film formed thereon; and
 - irradiating a desired part of said water-repellent film with a stream of plasma jets to thereby eliminate said desired film part.
2. A method as defined in claim 1, wherein said water-repellent glass sheet includes an SiO_2 -based undercoat interposed between a surface of said glass sheet and said water-repellent film, and said desired-film-part elimination is carried out such that said undercoat is left as it is.
3. A method as defined in claim 1, wherein said plasma jet irradiating step is performed by using a plasma jet irradiation gun which is set to operate at a power output of the order of 0.5 kW, is positioned 5-15 mm distant from a surface of said glass sheet and is set to move at a velocity of 1-60 mm/sec parallel to said glass sheet surface in each pass of treatment.
4. A water-repellent glass sheet produced by the partial treatment method as defined in any one of claims 1 to 3.
5. A water-repellent glass sheet comprising:

-29-

an SiO₂-based undercoat formed on a surface of said glass sheet; and

a water-repellent film formed on said undercoat,

said water-repellent film having non-water-repellent
5 portions provided by nullifying a water-repellent function
at portions thereof where a water-repellent function is not
required, and a sloped border portion separating said non-
water-repellent portions and remaining water-repellent
portion, said sloped border portion having a gradient
10 water-repellent function.

6. A water-repellent glass sheet as defined in claim 5,
wherein said undercoat remains present at said non-water-
repellent portions.

15

7. A water-repellent glass sheet as defined in claim 5,
wherein said undercoat is formed by a sol-gel process.

FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3A




FIG. 3B




FIG.4A




FIG.4B




FIG. 5A




FIG. 5B




FIG. 6




FIG. 7A




FIG. 7B




FIG. 8
(PRIOR ART)




FIG. 9
(PRIOR ART)





FIG.10
(PRIOR ART)



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/JP 00/06244

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 C03C17/30 C03C17/42

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
IPC 7 C03C

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ, INSPEC, COMPENDEX

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 0 758 629 A (CANON KK) 19 February 1997 (1997-02-19) claims	1,4
A	US 5 413 865 A (NAKAMURA ICHIRO ET AL) 9 May 1995 (1995-05-09) abstract	2,5-7
A	EP 0 657 393 A (NIPPON SHEET GLASS CO LTD) 14 June 1995 (1995-06-14) claims	1-7

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- *Z* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

6 December 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

13/12/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Van Bommel, L

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/JP 00/06244

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0758629 A	19-02-1997	JP 9033711 A	07-02-1997
		CN 1167265 A	10-12-1997
		DE 69601989 D	12-05-1999
		DE 69601989 T	16-12-1999
		KR 253259 B	15-04-2000
US 5413865 A	09-05-1995	JP 5213633 A	24-08-1993
		JP 5319869 A	03-12-1993
		JP 6016455 A	25-01-1994
EP 0657393 A	14-06-1995	JP 7157336 A	20-06-1995
		DE 69402947 D	05-06-1997
		DE 69402947 T	14-08-1997
		ES 2101448 T	01-07-1997
		KR 206737 B	01-07-1999
		US 5556667 A	17-09-1996

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☒ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)